UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,021	11/26/2003	Keith M. Orr	22956-239	7261
	7590 08/03/201 CLENNEN & FISH LL	EXAMINER		
SEAPORT WE	ST BOULEVARD	DORNBUSCH, DIANNE		
BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3773	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@nutter.com

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/724	.,021	ORR ET AL.		
		Exami	ner	Art Unit		
		DIANN	E DORNBUSCH	3773		
۔ Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commur Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHC WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi period for reply is specified above, the maximum s to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNIC be event, however, may a red d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,	
Status						
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal matte	• •	ne merits is	
Dispositio	on of Claims					
5)□	Claim(s) 1-7,9-13,15-18,20 and 21 (a) Of the above claim(s) 20 and 21 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7,9-13 and 15-18 is/are is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restricted to the control of the specification is objected to by the control of the specification is objected to by the control of the specification is objected to by the control of the specification is objected to by the control of the control	is/are withdrawn fr rejected. ction and/or election	om consideration.			
10) 🗌 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to the control of the control	a) accepted or ction to the drawing(s the correction is req	s) be held in abeyan uired if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (lation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(s	tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/724,021 Page 2

Art Unit: 3773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9-13, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans et al. (2004/0204715) in view of Reid (3,581,956)

 Claims 1-6:

Evans discloses the following claimed limitations:

Claim 1: A first component (300) for receiving and dispensing the tissue scaffold having a funnel-shaped proximal end (320), a distal end (330), and an elongate, hollow body (310) extending therebetween (Fig. 2), the elongate body defining a passageway extending from the flared proximal end to the distal end (Fig. 5); and a second component (100) having an elongate body (110) with a blunt tip at a distal end (Fig. 2 and 5), the elongate body being configured to be removably disposed within the first component for sliding along the passageway (Fig. 1-2), the second component including at least one sealing ring (150) around the elongate body proximal to the tip (Fig. 2 and 5).

Regarding the statement that the first component is for receiving and dispensing the tissue scaffold and that the second component slides along the passageway, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is

Art Unit: 3773

intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Claim 2: Wherein the passageway includes a first, flared portion extending into a second, tubular portion (Fig. 5).

Claim 3: Wherein the first, flared portion has a curved tapered shape (Fig. 2 and 5)

Claim 4: Wherein the flared proximal end of the first component has a diameter in the range of about 15 mm to about 50 mm ([0048]).

Claim 5: Wherein the second, tubular portion has a diameter in the range of about 5 mm to about 17 mm ([0042]).

Claim 6: Wherein the tip of the second component comprises a spherical tip (Fig. 5)

Evans teaches all the claimed limitations discussed above however, Evans does not disclose that the tip has a diameter less than the diameter of the elongated body.

Reid discloses plunger (12) which includes a knob (58) at the distal end that has a diameter less than the diameter of eth elongated member (28).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Evans with a knob at the distal end with a smaller diameter than the diameter of the elongated member in view of the teachings of Reid, in order to minimize the side of the bore of the first component ahead of the plunger, thereby providing greater accuracy of control of the discharge of the sample in the device.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3773

Claim 7: Evans teaches all the claimed limitations discussed above however, Evans does not disclose that the spherical tip has a diameter in the range of about 6 mm to about 10 mm.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Evans with the diameter range since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Furthermore, the differences in concentration, temperature, size, or pressure will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration, temperature, size, or pressure is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). See MPEP 2144.05 (II). Claim 9: Evans discloses an insertion tube (300) having a funnel-shaped proximal end (320), a distal end (330) and a hollow passageway extending therebetween (Fig. 5); and an insertion rod (100) having an elongate shaft (110) extending into a handle (120) at a proximal end (Fig> 2) and a blunt tip at a distal end (Fig. 2 and 5), the elongate shaft being configured to be removably disposed within the insertion tube for sliding along the passageway (Fig. 1-2) and contacting the tissue scaffold disposed within the insertion device (the tip contact the material inside the passageway as it is being pushed out of the insertion tube); the insertion rod including a sealing ring (150) around the elongate shaft (Fig. 2 and 5).

Regarding the use of a scaffold and that the second component slides along the passageway, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Evans teaches all the claimed limitations discussed above however, Evans does not disclose that the insertion rod further includes a pair of sealing rings around the elongate body.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a second sealing ring since the examiner is taking Official Notice that the use of a second sealing ring is well known in the art in order to control the sliding resistance between the first components and the second component as well as providing a seal.

Evans teaches all the claimed limitations discussed above however, Evans does not disclose that the tip has a diameter less than the diameter of the elongated body.

Reid discloses plunger (12) which includes a knob (58) at the distal end that has a diameter less than the diameter of eth elongated member (28).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Evans with a knob at the distal end with a smaller diameter than the diameter of the elongated member in view of the teachings of Reid, in order to minimize the side of the bore of the first component ahead of the plunger,

Application/Control Number: 10/724,021

Art Unit: 3773

thereby providing greater accuracy of control of the discharge of the sample in the device.

<u>Claim 10:</u> Evans discloses that the passageway includes a first, flared portion extending into a second, tubular portion (Fig. 2 and 5).

<u>Claim 11:</u> Evans discloses that the first, flared portion has a curved, tapered shape (Fig. 2 and 5).

<u>Claim 12:</u> Evans discloses that the second, tubular portion has a diameter in the range of about 6 mm to about 17 mm ([0048]).

Claim 13: Evans discloses all the claimed limitations discussed above except the second, tubular portion has a diameter in the range of about 7 mm to about 9 mm. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Evans with the diameter range since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

<u>Claim 15:</u> Evans discloses that the flared proximal end of the insertion tube has a diameter in the range of about 15 mm to about 50 mm ([0048]).

<u>Claim 16:</u> Evans discloses that the blunt tip of the insertion rod comprises a spherical tip (Fig. 2 and 5).

<u>Claims 17 and 18:</u> Evans teaches all the claimed limitations discussed above however, Evans does not disclose that the spherical tip has a diameter in the range of about 6 mm to about 10 mm.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Evans with the diameter range since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Furthermore, the differences in concentration, temperature, size, or pressure will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration, temperature, size, or pressure is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). See MPEP 2144.05 (II).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 9-13, and 15-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/724,021 Page 8

Art Unit: 3773

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DIANNE DORNBUSCH whose telephone number is (571)270-3515. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 7:30 am to 5:00 pm Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,021 Page 9

Art Unit: 3773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. D./ Examiner, Art Unit 3773

/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773